

Date: Tue, 8 Mar 94 04:30:10 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #110
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Tue, 8 Mar 94 Volume 94 : Issue 110

Today's Topics:

Code Bashing.

How can I *LEGALLY* change my Ham radio to send/receive Marine B
Necessity of code
Vanity/reused calls (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 7 Mar 94 23:25:51 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
newsrelay.iastate.edu!news.iastate.edu!wjturner@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Code Bashing.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <TRksic2w165w@mystis.wariat.org> dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan
Pickersgill) writes:

>And if someone trys it, hates it, can't learn it despite repeated trys,
>then they can just shut up and move to another hobby (there is always 11
>meters...) is that it?

NO! (And kindly quit putting words in my mouth!) If that is the case, and I
know it is for *many* hams, I believe they have a right to say what they
think. I said people should not complain *without* trying something. If you
try it and hate it, dislike it, or just plain can't do it, *then* I will
listen to what you have to say.

Dan, for a while there I agreed with what you had to say, and I thought others

were not giving you a fair chance, but now I am starting to see it differently.

--

Will Turner, NORDV	-----
wjturner@iastate.edu	"Are you going to have any professionalism,
twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu	or am I going to have to beat it into you?"
TURNERW@vaxld.ameslab.gov	-----

Date: 8 Mar 94 02:04:45 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!srngenprp!
alanb@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: How can I *LEGALLY* change my Ham radio to send/receive Marine B
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Dan Pickersgill (dan@mystis.wariat.org) wrote:
: kellys@leland.stanford.edu (Kelly Schwarzhoff) writes:

: > I currently own an Alinco DJ-580 UHF/VHF Ham Radio, and I was wondering if
: > anyone knows anyway I could legally change it so that it can transmit on
: > the marine VHF channels?

: The short answer is NO. Not LEGALLY.

Actually, to be really nit-picky, it's perfectly legal to so modify the
radio. So long as you don't actually transmit.

AL N1AL

Date: 08 Mar 1994 00:01:37 GMT
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!eff!news.kei.com!
yeshua.marcam.com!charnel!olivea!korie1!news2me.EBay.Sun.COM!exodus.Eng.Sun.COM!
engnews2.Eng.Sun.COM@ihnp4.ucsd.edu
Subject: Necessity of code
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <Anthony_Pelliccio-050394162614@138.16.64.49>
Anthony_Pelliccio@brown.edu (Tony Pelliccio) writes:

>In article <ZK9rPXB.edellers@delphi.com>, Ed Ellers <edellers@delphi.com>
>wrote:

>

>> Excellent example. The difference is that road signs, lane changing and the

>> like are needed skills for ALL drivers; Morse Code is only necessary for those
>> who WANT to use CW.

>

>It's a necessary skill alright. Ever try to copy some of the foreign
>stations on voice? You're 5-9, what's your call?

I count two fallacies here:

1. One is not required to work "foreign stations" at all.
2. "Not CW" does not entail "voice".

Rich

--

Rich McAllister (rfm@eng.sun.com)

Date: 8 Mar 94 00:04:38 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!acsc.com!pravda.sdsc.edu!nic-nac.CSU.net!clstad!
armyman@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Vanity/reused calls
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

--

Houdy,

Rumors have been floating around about the issuing of vanity license's
and the reuse of old calls.

What's the whole story on this?

If this horse has been whipped before, forgive me
I've been off the net for awhile.

Alex R. Myrman - KC6TMB - armyrman@vms4.sci.csupomona.edu
College of Science Computational Systems - Phone: (909) 869-4226
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, CA. USA

Date: 8 Mar 94 05:16:13 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!uuneo.NeoSoft.com!
sugar.NeoSoft.COM!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Vanity/reused calls
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <1994Mar7.160438.1@clstad>,
Alex Myrman <armyman@csupomona.edu> wrote:

>--

>Houdy,

>

>Rumors have been floating around about the issuing of vanity license's
>and the reuse of old calls.

>

>What's the whole story on this?

It seems to me that the League wanted to do something along these lines.
No doubt there's a profit motive involved.

--Robert [WA3J]

--

"Meeting him, shaking his hand--it was overwhelming. It was better than sex.
Of course, I haven't had sex before, but I'm sure this was better."

--A Codeless Technician, after meeting Dan Pickersgill for the first time.

Date: 7 Mar 94 22:07:36 GMT

From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!howland.reston.ans.net!pipex!sunic!
psinntp!psinntp!arrl.org!ehare@network.ucsd.edu

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <Anthony_Pelliccio-050394162205@138.16.64.49>, <hs1o-
NP.edellers@delphi.com>, <Anthony_Pelliccio-070394131034@138.16.64.25>
Subject : Rights and Priveleges (was Re: Morse Whiners)

Tony Pelliccio (Anthony_Pelliccio@brown.edu) wrote:

: And who are you to define a privelege versus a right?

How about: a privelege is something I have to justify to the government
to get; a right is something the government has to justify to take
away from me.

73 from my personal desk, Ed

--

Ed Hare, KA1CV

ehare@arrl.org

American Radio Relay League

Date: 7 Mar 94 23:29:37 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
newsrelay.iastate.edu!news.iastate.edu!wjturner@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <234Ric1w165w@mystis.wariat.org>, <2ldfbu\$jlrv@news.iastate.edu>,
<1994Mar7.162324.2028@miavx3.mid.muohio.edu>ews
Subject : Re: ARRL VEC accreditation

Thanks for all the help on the question I posed (sp?) about the ARRL VEC.
Everyone seemed to agree with what I was thinking, so I'm feeling pretty good
about it.

Thanks again!

Will, N0RDV/AE

--
Will Turner, N0RDV
wjturner@iastate.edu | "Are you going to have any professionalism, |
twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu | or am I going to have to beat it into you?" |
TURNERW@vaxld.ameslab.gov -----

Date: 7 Mar 94 21:23:23 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!news.intercon.com!panix!
ddsw1!news.cic.net!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!cis.ohio-state.edu!pacific.mps.ohio-
state.edu!ohstpy.mps.ohio-state.edu!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2lbb36\$cfid@sugar.NeoSoft.COM>, <234Ric1w165w@mystis.wariat.org>,
<2ldfbu\$jlrv@news.iastate.edu>1
Subject : Re: ARRL VEC accreditation

In article <2ldfbu\$jlrv@news.iastate.edu>, wjturner@iastate.edu (William J Turner)
writes:

> OK, I've got a question.

>

> I'm waiting for my Amateur Extra class ticket to come in the mail. (Hopefully
> in a few weeks...) I'm also going over the ARRL VEC material and test, and
> some of the questions have answers that appear one way in the text of the
> booklet and another way in part 97, and others have changed since the book was
> printed. My question is: what does the ARRL VEC want me to answer on those?

> For instance, it asks who makes the VEC question pools, and the book says
> something to the effect of a committee of VECs, while part 97 just says the
> VECs. Also, the requirements for VEs have changed, along with a few other
> requirements.
>
> So, how would you interpret this? Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Tnx!!
>
> 73, Will NORDV/AE
>
> --
> Will Turner, NORDV -----
> wjturner@iastate.edu | "Are you going to have any professionalism, |
> twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu | or am I going to have to beat it into you?" |
> TURNERW@vaxld.ameslab.gov -----

I'm sure the ARRL/VEC is a forward-looking operation and knowledgeable
about rules changes as they occur. If you perceive a conflict in your
sources, answer the question and cite references.

Good luck ... hope to work you one day.

73 >< Carl
K8NHE

Date: 8 Mar 94 01:26:25 GMT
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!howland.reston.ans.net!
europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!eff!news.kei.com!world!drt@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2l0c6a\$u6s@msuinfo.cl.msu.edu>,
<1994Mar3.224720.1107@ve6mgs.ampr.org>, <xU0qPNB.edellers@delphi.com>
Subject : Re: New Canadian prefixes?

Ed Ellers (edellers@delphi.com) wrote:
: Since when is X an internationally assigned prefix for Canada? I'm surprised
: someone didn't bring that issue up, as was done in the U.S. when CB started
: here with calls that started with NUMERALS.

The ITU assigns XJ through XO prefixes to Canada.

-drt

|David R. Tucker KG2S drt@world.std.com|

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #110
